

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA : CIVIL ACTION
:
v. : NO. 22-5055
:
INHANCE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC :

ORDER

AND NOW, this 9th day of April 2024, upon considering intervenor-plaintiffs' motion to vacate (DI 94) our April 8, 2024 order (DI 92), it is **ORDERED** intervenor-plaintiffs' motion to vacate (DI 94) is **DENIED**.¹


MURPHY, J.

¹ Intervenor's conduct in this case sets an impressive new bar for unnecessary multiplication of proceedings. And it doesn't help that intervenors' latest filing is rife with overstatement. This is a case about the propriety and consequences of *government* agency action. The *government* says it is not ready to take a position on how to proceed in light of the Fifth Circuit's decision, and asked us to wait for the mandate. That is not too much to ask. We will.